



ICEMIT
BLACE

The Second International Scientific Conference on Economics,
Management and Information Technologies – ICEMIT 2025
CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

The dark side of digital marketing: psychological, ethical, and social implications in the era of surveillance capitalism

Jelena Veličković^{a*}, Jasminka Đuričanin^a


^a Toplica Academy of Applied Studies, Department of Business Studies Blace, Serbia

Article info

Review paper

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.71159/icemit2548V>

This is an open access paper under the
license 

Abstract

In the digital age, marketing strategies are becoming increasingly sophisticated, relying on psychological insights, algorithmic data processing, and a constant presence in consumers' lives. This paper critically examines contemporary marketing practices, exploring the fine line between legitimate influence and exploitation. Special attention is given to the attention economy, emotional manipulation, and strategies that deliberately shape user behavior, often without their consent. While technology enables a high degree of personalization and efficiency, it also raises pressing questions of ethics, social responsibility, and the spiritual decline of modern individuals. As brands continue to generate profit, consumers increasingly face feelings of alienation, insecurity, and the loss of authentic values. Within this context, the paper highlights the need to balance market logic with humanistic principles in the future of marketing.

Keywords: digital marketing, consumer exploitation, attention economy, ethics in marketing, algorithmic influence, psychological manipulation

1. Introduction

In today's digital era, marketing has become more than just a tool for product promotion — it shapes consumers' opinions, behaviors, and needs. Within this complex network of communication channels, algorithms, and psychological strategies, a central question arises: does marketing today primarily inform, or does it manipulate? This paper examines contemporary marketing strategies through the lens of their influence and potential exploitation of consumers, shedding light on the ethical dilemmas and social consequences of such practices.

Modern marketing encompasses a range of strategies that rely on digital technologies, data analytics, and psychological insights. According to Kotler and Keller (Kotler et al., 2016), marketing today focuses on creating consumer value through personalization and emotional connection. However, Foucault's theory¹ of power and surveillance provides a useful framework

2. Ethics, economy, and spiritual regression

Contemporary digital marketing not only reshapes economic flows but also alters fundamental postulates of interpersonal relationships, value systems, and everyday life. While global brands report revenue growth through precise targeting and algorithmic attention management, there is growing evidence that consumers, despite technological advancement, experience spiritual and emotional regression.

Digital marketing is closely linked to the concept of the **attention economy**, developed by theorists such as Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990), Tim Wu (Wu, 2016), and Jonathan Crary (Crary, 2013). Attention in the digital age is treated as a scarce yet valuable resource, as human capacity for focus is limited. Digital platforms are designed to capture and retain user attention as efficiently as possible (average attention span is around 10 seconds), using mechanisms such as notifications, personalized feeds, and infinite scrolling. The attention economy represents a modern

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: jelenaVelickovic409@yahoo.com

¹ Michel Foucault, the French philosopher and theorist of power, developed the concept of the “panopticon” as a metaphor for a surveillance system in which individuals, although constantly subject to the possibility of being observed, begin to self-discipline their own behavior. In the contemporary digital environment, this idea perfectly aligns with marketing mechanisms that operate through invisible algorithms, data tracking, and the shaping of consumer

model in which user attention has become a rare and highly valuable commodity. In conditions of information and digital content oversaturation, brands and platforms compete not primarily for consumer money, but for their attention—because attention is the prerequisite for any economic transaction, opinion shaping, or behavior modification.

Attention has become a central resource in the digital economy. User attention is monetized, divided into micro-moments, and sold to advertisers. In this process, humans become a means rather than an end: their behavior, habits, and emotions are transformed into data, which then generates profit. Thus, the economic system supporting digital marketing no longer exploits only physical labor but also consciousness itself.

Critics warn that this model contributes to fragmented focus, information overload, and psychological exhaustion among users. In this context, the attention economy not only shapes market dynamics but deeply affects cognitive and emotional behavior patterns.

This strategy directly ties into **surveillance capitalism**, as attention becomes a metric for predictive behavior modeling. In this race, there is no ethical brake—what is “clickable” wins, often at the expense of **quality, truth, and users’ psychological well-being**. Consequently, there is increasing discussion of “digital addiction” and the notion of “attention as a colonized resource.”

3. Psychological mechanisms in digital marketing

Digital marketing heavily relies on psychological triggers to capture attention and encourage action. Among the most commonly used mechanisms are:

- **FOMO (Fear of Missing Out)**: creating a sense that the user is missing something important or limited.
- **Nudging**: subtly guiding user behavior through interface design and option placement.
- **Emotional triggers**: using images, music, language, and messages that evoke fear, nostalgia, excitement, or security.
- **Personalization**: creating content that appears to be “made just for me,” generating a false sense of closeness with the brand.

Understanding digital marketing phenomena through the lens of psychological manipulation and emotional exploitation requires an interdisciplinary approach integrating theories from economics, psychology, sociology, and media studies. A central concept around which the analysis is built is **surveillance capitalism**, a term introduced by Shoshana Zuboff (Zuboff, 2019) to describe a new form of economy based on the collection, analysis, and monetization of human behavioral data. According to Zuboff, surveillance capitalism involves not only passive data collection but also the active shaping and prediction of human behavior for commercial gain. She defines it as a new economic logic in which human experience is treated as raw material for machine learning, predictive analytics, and profit generation. In this model, digital technologies are not neutral communication tools but instruments for gathering, processing, and monetizing user data—often without explicit consent.

Digital marketing plays a key role in this process: user behavior online becomes the primary resource to be exploited. Every click, search, or attention span is transformed into data used to create precise psychographic profiles—digital portraits of personality. Based on these profiles, advertisers can predict and shape consumer habits with remarkable accuracy.

This practice leads to the erosion of privacy, the undermining of autonomy, and the creation of invisible structures of power. In line with Foucault’s theories, surveillance moves from traditional institutions (such as prisons or hospitals) into commercial spheres, where the subject is constantly exposed to algorithmic observation and modification. Surveillance capitalism thus becomes not only an economic but also a cultural and political phenomenon, with profound consequences for identity, freedom, and social cohesion.

Algorithmic systems in digital marketing use vast amounts of personal data to deliver highly personalized ads. This practice often exceeds privacy boundaries, as users are not fully aware of the amount or manner in which their data is used. Furthermore, marketing increasingly employs psychological tricks, such as FOMO, to compel users to make impulsive decisions.

Additionally, constant exposure to ads and unrealistic depictions of life on social media can impact mental health, especially among young people. It creates a false sense of need for possessions and success, leading to dissatisfaction and anxiety. Digital marketing, while technically sophisticated, can have very real consequences for individuals’ psychological well-being.

Instead of totalitarianism by force, today we face a new form of power—**totalitarianism by algorithm**.

Cognitive Psychology and Behavioral Economics provide key insights into how people make decisions and how marketing strategies target them. Classic studies by Kahneman and Tversky (Kahneman et al., 1979) show that people often rely on heuristics and make decisions based on emotions and biases rather than rational analysis. Digital marketing exploits these vulnerabilities to increase advertising effectiveness and encourage impulsive behavior.

Concepts such as **dopaminergic reward** and **cognitive dissonance** are frequently used to explain why users are prone to digital platform addiction. Notification systems, likes, and comments stimulate dopamine release, a neurochemical agent that links pleasure to certain behaviors, leading to compulsive app usage (Montag et al., 2016).

Emotional exploitation in digital marketing also affects the formation of user identity, especially among young people. Social media platforms employ visual content that shapes standards of beauty, success, and social belonging. Studies indicate a correlation between intensive social media use and an increase in mental health issues, such as depression and anxiety (Twenge., 2017).

Algorithms are not neutral tools but active participants in shaping user experience and behavior, raising questions about ethics, responsibility, and user rights (Pasquale., 2015).

4. Data collection methods in digital marketing

In contemporary digital marketing, data represents a primary resource for creating personalized campaigns and making strategic decisions. Understanding how professionals obtain this data is crucial for analyzing the ethical and psychological implications of marketing.

Techniques and tools for data collection

a) Web analytics

The most commonly used tool in digital marketing is Google Analytics, which allows detailed tracking of user behavior on a website—how much time they spend, which pages they visit, which devices they use, and more.

b) Social media tracking

Platforms such as Facebook (Meta), Instagram, TikTok, and LinkedIn offer advertising tools that provide insights into users' demographic, interest-based, and geographic characteristics. Using so-called pixel codes, marketers can track user behavior even after they leave the social media platform.

c) Cookies and tracking scripts

Cookies are scripts automatically installed in the user's browser, collecting data on browsing habits, searches, clicks, and time spent on specific pages.

d) CRM Systems

Tools like HubSpot and Salesforce allow companies to track and organize information about existing and potential clients—from contact information to purchase history.

e) Voluntary data submission

Through newsletter sign-ups, registrations, quizzes, or e-book downloads, users voluntarily provide data such as their name, email address, interests, and demographic characteristics.

4.2 Data Sources: Where the Data Comes From

a) Users

The majority of data comes directly from users—either through their behavior or through explicitly provided information.

b) Third-party platforms

Large platforms such as Google, Meta, and TikTok collect vast amounts of data, which they aggregate and offer to advertisers.

c) Data brokers

There are companies that specialize in collecting, analyzing, and selling user data, such as Acxiom, Oracle Data Cloud, and Nielsen.

5. Resistance strategies and protecting mental health from the negative effects of digital marketing

The increasing presence of digital marketing in everyday life carries risks to mental health, including addiction, anxiety, depression, and impaired self-perception. However, there are various resistance strategies that users and society can employ to protect themselves:

1. Education and critical thinking

Understanding how digital marketing works and which psychological techniques it uses can help users recognize manipulation and mitigate its effects.

2. Digital detox and self-regulation

Consciously limiting time spent on social media and other digital platforms can reduce exposure to harmful content and algorithmic manipulation.

3. Use of privacy and advertising control tools

Installing ad-blocking extensions, adjusting privacy settings, and limiting data collection can decrease the number of targeted messages users receive.

4. Collective pressure on companies and legislators

Activism, advocacy for stricter regulations, and transparency in the operations of digital platforms are crucial for systemic user protection.

Although these strategies can be significantly helpful, the challenges remain substantial:

- Technologies and algorithms are becoming increasingly sophisticated and adapt to user habits.
- Psychological techniques are deeply ingrained and often unconscious, making them difficult to recognize.
- Individual self-regulation is often limited within a digital economy that profits from user dependency.

Therefore, a **holistic approach** that combines personal strategies with social and legal initiatives is essential. Only through such an approach can effective protection of mental health in the digital age be achieved.

Effective mental health protection in the era of digital marketing requires a holistic strategy that integrates individual, social, and regulatory measures:

1. Individual level

Individuals should be educated about the risks and mechanisms of manipulation, develop critical thinking skills, and learn self-regulation strategies for using digital devices and platforms. Promoting digital hygiene, such as digital detoxes and limiting time spent online, can reduce negative effects.

2. Social level

Raising awareness within communities, schools, and workplaces about the harms of excessive exposure to manipulative content is crucial. Collective efforts through campaigns, workshops, and support networks can increase resilience for both individuals and groups.

3. Regulatory level

Lawmakers and regulatory bodies should establish clear guidelines and laws governing data collection, advertising practices, and algorithmic content management. Transparency, user rights, and penalties for misuse are key to balancing market interests with user well-being.

Only through this **integrated approach** can the challenges posed by digital marketing to mental health and society be effectively addressed.

5. Conclusion

Digital marketing has become a central element of contemporary market communication, yet its power to personalize, influence, and redirect human attention carries significant risks. Invasive user tracking, emotional manipulation, and the exploitation of trust are not isolated incidents but systemic patterns that threaten digital well-being. Beyond compromising privacy, digital marketing affects users' mental health, increases pressure, and distorts reality through unrealistic standards and excessive commercialization of life.

There is an urgent need for stronger regulation, greater transparency, and user education about the digital strategies that surround them. Morally, there is a growing sense of emptiness, alienation, and insecurity. While humanity has advanced technologically, it has regressed spiritually: in the pursuit of likes, virality, and digital identities, people neglect authentic relationships, inner peace, and critical thinking. Consumerism becomes a form of contemporary religion, with algorithmically driven impulses replacing free will.

To mitigate the negative consequences of digital marketing, it is necessary to implement stricter data protection laws, ensure transparency in advertising, and incorporate ethics more thoroughly into algorithm design. User education is also crucial—users need to be aware of how they are targeted and learn strategies to protect their digital privacy. Government institutions, as well as the platforms themselves, have a responsibility to balance commercial interests with the public good.

The dark side of marketing strategies is reflected precisely in this quiet but profound transformation of human nature—where the economy dominates ethics, and technology overshadows spirituality.

References

- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing Management* (15th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. Harper & Row.
- Crary, J. (2013). *24/7: Late capitalism and the ends of sleep*. Verso.
- Foucault, M. (1995). *Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison* (A. Sheridan, Trans.). Vintage Books.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263–292. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185>
- Montag, C., Sindermann, C., Becker, B., & Panksepp, J. (2016). An affective neuroscience framework for the molecular study of internet addiction. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 229460. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01906>
- Pasquale, F. (2015). *The black box society: The secret algorithms that control money and information*. Harvard University Press.
- Twenge, J. M. (2017). *iGen: Why today's super-connected kids are growing up less rebellious, more tolerant, less happy—and completely unprepared for adulthood*. Atria Books.
- Wu, T. (2016). *The attention merchants: The epic scramble to get inside our heads*. Knopf.
- Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. PublicAffairs.

